arXiv:1411.2665v2 [physics.gen-ph] 27 Jan 2015

Galaxy rotation curves in de Sitter space

Maurice H.P.M. van Putten[1]

[1]*Astronomy and Space Science, Sejong University, 98 Gunja-Dong Gwangin-gu, Seoul 143-747, Korea*

(Dated: January 28, 2015)

Dark energy inferred from the observed negative deceleration parameter introduces a small mass of the graviton, that satisfies the Higuchi stability condition. It implies an infra-red modification of gravitation that produces Milgrom's inverse distance law of gravitational attraction in excellent agreement with the observed galaxy rotation curves. We conclude that dark matter is present cosmologically with no need for local clustering in galaxies.

PACS numbers: 04.60-m,04.60.Bc,03.75.Nt,95.35+d

INTRODUCTION

General relativity gives an accurate description of gravitational attraction in systems on the scale of our solar system (e.g. [1]), in a four-covariant embedding of Newton's theory of gravitation by a mixed elliptic-hyperbolic system of equations (e.g. [2]), parameterized by Newton's constant G and the velocity of light c.

Modern cosmology, however, points to a mysterious cosmological constant Λ or dark energy and dark matter with, at present, no microphysical origin [3–5]. They appear at weak gravity on the cosmological scale of acceleration $a_H = cH_0$, defined by the Hubble constant H_0 and the velocity of light c. Assuming Newton's law of gravitation, dark matter appears in galaxy clusters (by a factor of about eight [6]), in the Faber & Jackson [7] and Tully-Fisher [8] relations for galaxy stellar velocity dispersion and, respectively, rotation velocities, in globular clusters [9] and in ultra-wide stellar binaries [10].

The cosmological scale a_H represents the surface gravity of the cosmological event horizon that, in a three-flat universe, has a Hubble radius $R_H = c/H_0$. Weak gravity, therefore, is conceivably affected by thermodynamic properties of the cosmological event horizon, which would ordinarily be negligible when considering gravitation in the solar system. It has a de Sitter temperature [11]

$$k_B T_{dS} = \frac{H\hbar}{2\pi}, \tag{1}$$

where k_B denotes the Boltzmann constant. As a null-surface, it further has a Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S/k_B = (1/4)A_H/l_p^2$. In a holographic interpretation [12, 13], this is identified with the number of degrees of freedom in the phase space of the visible universe which, at present, corresponds to the information required for encoding the microphysical distribution of matter [14]. By (1), the cosmological event horizon can hereby be attributed a two-dimensional pressure which, by its Gauss curvature, R_H^{-2}, introduces a finite negative pressure, p_0, in the enclosed space-time of the visible universe along with dark energy $\rho_\Lambda = -p_0$ by Lorentz invariance (e.g. [15]). By scaling, we infer from the unit of gravitational luminosity

$$L_0 = \frac{c^5}{G} \tag{2}$$

a dark energy density

$$\rho_\Lambda = \frac{L_0}{cA_H} : \quad \Omega_\Lambda = \frac{2}{3}, \tag{3}$$

where $\Omega_\Lambda = \rho_\Lambda/\rho_c$, $\rho_c = 3c^2H_0^2/8\pi G$. The same result can be seen to derive from entropy forces [16] by virtual displacements of null-surfaces following Gibbs' procedure (e.g. [17])

$$\rho_\Lambda = -p = A_H^{-1}T_{dS}\frac{dS}{dR} = \frac{k_B T_{dS}}{2R_H l_p^2}, \tag{4}$$

where $l_p = \sqrt{G\hbar/c^3}$ denotes the Planck length.

Here, we point out that a positive dark energy (3) - as currently observed or inferred from a holographic principle - implies a finite mass $m_0 = \sqrt{\Lambda}\hbar/c$ to the graviton, as may be seen by coupling to the Ricci tensor in the nonlinear wave equations for the Riemann-Cartan connections [2]. It hereby obtains a dispersion relation

$$\omega = c\sqrt{k^2 + \Lambda}, \tag{5}$$

of energy $\hbar\omega$ in terms of momentum $\hbar k$ at a wave number k. This relation is preserved in when preserving gauge invariance by including Stueckelberg fields ([18, 19] and references therein). It implies a rest mass energy $\epsilon_0 = \hbar c\sqrt{\Lambda}$ of the graviton. The problem of consistent general relativity with massive gravitons has recently received considerable attention (e.g. [20, 21]). With $-q_0H^2 = H^2 + \dot{H}$, the generalized Higuchi constraint $m^2 \geq 2(H^2 + \dot{H})$ [22–24] reduces to $\Omega_\Lambda \geq -\frac{2}{3}q_0$. Based on observations, $-1 < q_0 < -0.5$ [5, 25, 26], whereby $q_0 > -1$ appears secure.

NORMALIZED VACUUM TEMPERATURE

In light of (1), the gravitons are warm and assume a non-relativistic temperature. With $k_B T_0 = m_0 c^2$, we

have $\beta_{dS} = T_0/T_{dS}$ satisfying

$$\beta_{dS} = 2\pi\sqrt{2}. \tag{6}$$

To an inertial observer, T_{dS} appears in the form of isotropic radiation coming from all directions. An accelerating observer experiences additional radiation at an Unruh temperature $k_B T_U = a\hbar/(2\pi c)$ of with momenta along the direction of acceleration by equivalence in Rindler and Schwarzschild space times [27, 28]. The momenta of Unruh radiation, $p_U = k_B T_U/c$ and the isotropic momenta $p_{dS} = k_B T_{dS}/c$ of de Sitter background radiation are hereby uncorrelated. The average net momentum in magnitude hereby satisfies $p = \sqrt{p_U^2 + p_{dS}^2}$, giving rise to an apparent net temperature [29–31]

$$\hat{T} = \sqrt{1 + \hat{T}_U^2}, \tag{7}$$

where the hat refers to normalization with respect to T_{dS}. The associated radiation energy $\epsilon = k_B T$ hereby satisfies the same relation as (5) with $k_B T_{dS}$ setting a minimum temperature [32].

In holography applied to two-dimensional screens surrounding a region of space of interest, the screen temperature would normally satisfy

$$T = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial E}\right)^{-1}, \tag{8}$$

where E denotes the enclosed total energy and S is the entropy of the screen defined by its phase space. Neglecting T_{dS}, it recovers T_U above with Newton's law of gravitation as an entropic force [33]. The latter can be obtained from Gibbs' principle applied to deformations of light cones and the apparent event horizons of black holes alike endowed with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy [17].

We next apply Gibbs' principle to holography in a de Sitter background. In the imaging of a particle, let

$$E = m_0 c^2 + e \tag{9}$$

denote the enclosed mass-energy in terms of an associated rest mass energy $m_0 c^2$ and internal energy e attributed to the encoding in the screen. By e, the screen temperature raises above T_{dS} according to

$$[T] = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial e}\right)^{-1}, \tag{10}$$

where $[T] = T - T_{dS}$. We consider holographic imaging by excitation of on-shell gravitational modes satisfying (5) at the temperature (10). Consequently, $e = mc^2/(1+\beta)$ and $m_0 c^2 = \beta mc^2/(1+\beta)$, where $\beta = T_0/T$ generalizes (6). For a spherical screen of finite radius r with $N = 4\pi r^2/l_p^2$ Planck sized surface elements, (10) implies

$$e = \frac{1}{2} N [k_B T]. \tag{11}$$

In the limit of arbitrarily large r, $[k_B T]$ approaches zero and β increases to its maximum β_{dS} in (7).

The holographic formulation (7) and (11) implicitly defines T_U as a function of N. Here, N is expressed in terms of $T_N = a_N \hbar/(2\pi c)$, parametrized by the Newtonian acceleration $a_N = Gm/r^2$ around an enclosed baryonic mass m in the approximation of spherical symmetry. Corrections for realistic galaxies depend on the ratio of mass in the central region and the disk. For minor corrections at large distances to the latter, see [34]. Explicitly,

$$\hat{T}_U = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{T} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\tilde{T}^2 + 4\beta_{dS}}, \quad \tilde{T} = \hat{T}_N + 1 - \beta_{dS}. \tag{12}$$

GRAVITATIONAL ACCELERATION

Fig. 1 shows the observed acceleration $a = 2\pi k_B T_U c/\hbar$ as a function of a_N and current data on galaxy rotation curves, where the abscissa is the normalized acceleration a/a_H, $a_H = H_0 c$. Fig. 1 shows a high β limit at low-acceleration, known as Milgrom's law [35, 37],

$$a_+ = \sqrt{a_N a_0} \quad (a_N << a_H), \tag{13}$$

where

$$a_0 = a_H \frac{2}{1+\beta_{dS}} \simeq 1.37 \times 10^{-8}\,\mathrm{cm\ s^{-2}}. \tag{14}$$

The low β limit for which $e \simeq (1/2) N k_B T$ gives

$$a_- = a_N - 2\pi\sqrt{2}\, a_H + O(a_H^2/a), \tag{15}$$

where the leading order term is the Newtonian gravitational attraction of [33]. Our model (12) shows a minimum $a/a_N \simeq 0.32$ at $a_N/a_H \simeq 4.6$, when T_U is similar to T_{dS}. The corresponding radius is about

$$r_b \simeq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{R_b R_H} = 2.17\, M_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\mathrm{kpc} \tag{16}$$

for a galaxy of mass $M = M_{11} 10^{11} M_\odot$, where $a_N \simeq 3 \times 10^{-7}\,\mathrm{cm\ s^{-2}}$. Milgrom and Newton's law hold asymptotically in, respectively, $r >> r_0$ and $r << r_0$. Around a stellar mass object of mass M, (16) may alternatively be expressed as $r_b \simeq 1.41 \times 10^3\, (M/M_\odot)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ AU.

CONCLUSIONS

By the observed presence of dark energy, we have a non-trivial dispersion relation of the graviton at extremely low energies. It implies an enhancement in coupling to matter in the domain where gravitation is weak (high β), relative to the Hubble scale a_H. While the low β limit recovers Newton's law (15) when gravitation is within (16), the high β limit recovers the asymptotic behavior in the observed galaxy rotation curves in accord



FIG. 1: The observed acceleration a/a_N in galaxy rotating curves as a function of the Newtonian acceleration a_N/a_H based on baryonic matter (*dots*). (Data courtesy of [37].) For $a << a_0$, the model (*red curve,* $q_0 = -1$) describes $a \propto a_N^q$ with $\alpha = 1/2$ close to $\alpha = 0.49$ in a best-fit asymptote to the data (*black line*) within about bout 10%. The limit $a >> a_0$ recovers Newton's law. To guide the eye, a graph of $\sqrt{a^2 + a_H^2}$ is included. The model describes a transition region around r_0 (16) of relatively weak gravitational attraction, where the approximation of spherical symmetry may be breaking down.

with Milgrom's law, here with a specific model prediction (14) with no free parameters or fine-tuning.

Our model for galaxy rotation curves shows no need for local concentrations of dark matter. A cosmological distribution of dark matter may be present that, like dark energy, is possibly uniformly distributed associated with the cosmological event horizon. Based on [36], it can be shown that the de Sitter temperature T_{dS} vanishes in an early radiation dominated, when the deceleration parameter equals one. Consequently, the present arguments leave nucleosynthesis unaffected.

Acknowledgments. The author gratefully thanks S.S. McGaugh for the data in Fig. 1.

[1] Binney, J., & Tremaine, S., 1987, Galactic Dynamics (Princeton University Press, Princeton)
[2] van Putten, M.H.P.M., & Eardley, D.M., 1996, Phys. Rev. D, 53, 3056
[3] Riess, A., et al., 1998, ApJ, 116, 1009
[4] Perlmutter, S., et al., 1999, ApJ, 517, 565
[5] Riess, A., et al., 2004, ApJ, 607, 665
[6] Giodini,S., et al., 2009, ApJ, 703, 982
[7] Faber, S.M., & Jackson, R.E., 1976, ApJ, 204, 668
[8] Tully, R.B., & Fisher, J.R., 1977, A&A, 54, 661
[9] Hernandez, X., Jiménez, M.A., & Allen, C., 2013, MNRAS, 428, 3196
[10] Hernandez, X., Jiménez, M.A., & Allen, C., 2012, Eur. Phys. J C, 72, 1884
[11] Gibbons, G. W., & Hawking, S.W., 1977, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2738
[12] 't Hooft, G., 1993, arXiv:gr-qc/9310026
[13] Susskind, L., 1995, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.), 36, 6377
[14] van Putten, M.H.P.M., 2014, IJMPD, to appear; arXiv:1302.3470
[15] Weinberg, S., 1989, Rev. Mod. Phys. 61, 1
[16] Easson, D.A., Frampton, P.H., & Smooth, G.F., 2011, Phys. Lett. B, 696, 273
[17] van Putten, M.H.P.M., 2012, Phys. Rev. D, 85, 064046
[18] Ruegg, H., & Ruiz-Altaba, M., 2004, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, 19, 3265
[19] Akarsu, O., Arik, M., Katirci, N., & Kavuk, M., 2014, JCAP, 07, 009
[20] de Rham, C., Gabadadze, G., & Tolley, A.J., 2011, Phys. Rev. Lett., 231101
[21] Bernard, L., Deffayet, C., & von Strauss, M., arXiv:1410.8302v1
[22] Higuchi, A., 1987, Nucl. Phys. B, 282, 397
[23] Deser, S., & Waldron, H., 2001, Phys. Lett. B, 508, 347
[24] Grisa, L., & Sorbo, L., 2010, Phys. Lett. B, 686, 273
[25] Giostri, R., et al., 2012, JCAP, 3, 027
[26] Wu, P., & Yu, H., 2008, JCAP, 02, 019
[27] Unruh, W.G., 1976, Phys. Rev. D, 14, 870
[28] Higuchi, A., Matsas, G.E.A., & Sudarsky, D., Phys. Rev. D, 58, 104021
[29] Narnhofer, H., Peter, I., & Thirring, W., 1996, IJMP-B, 10, 1507
[30] Deser, S., & Levin, O., 1997, Gen. Rel. Quantum Grav., 14, L163
[31] Jacobson, T., 1998, Class. Quant. Grav., 15, 151
[32] Klinkhamer, K.R., & Kopp, M., 2011, Mod. Phys. Lett., A 26, 2783
[33] Verlinde, E., 2011, JHEP, 4, 29
[34] McGaugh, S.S., & W.J.G. de Blok, 1998, ApJ, 499, 66
[35] Milgrom, M., 1983, ApJ, 270, 365
[36] Cai, R.-G., & Kim, S.P., 2005, JHEP, 2, 50
[37] Famae, B., & McGaugh, S.S., 2012, Living Reviews, 10; http://astroweb.case.edu/ssm/data/MDaccRgn_LR.dat, data rescaled to $H_0 = 70$ km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}.